



2008-5435/09/11-14-19  
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF OCCUPATIONAL HYGIENE  
Copyright © 2009 by Iranian Occupational Health Association (IOHA)  
IJOH 1: 14-19, 2009

---

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## **The Interaction of Noise Pollution and Blood Pressure in a Textile Factory in Ilam, Iran**

PARVIN NASSIRI<sup>1\*</sup>, ALI MOHAMMAD ABBASI<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Occupational Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences,  
Tehran, Iran; <sup>2</sup>Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran.

Received March 22, 2009; Revised June 24, 2009; Accepted July 1, 2009  
This paper is available online at <http://ijoh.tums.ac.ir>

### **ABSTRACT**

The aim of the present study was to assess the industrial noise pollution and its effects on the blood pressure of workers during activities in textile factory in Ilam, which is situated in west of Iran. A cross-sectional study was performed on a group included 81 workers and 30 people as sample and control group, respectively. A questionnaire was filled out and then the other measurements including the total sound pressure level, weight, height, pulse, blood pressure, and all the rest of medical examinations have been respectively done. The average sound pressure level measured for sample and control group was

---

\*Corresponding author: Parvin Nassiri, E-mail: [nassiri@sina.tums.ac.ir](mailto:nassiri@sina.tums.ac.ir)

respectively  $94.86 \pm 6.63$  and  $61.93 \pm 4.56$  dBA. The result also showed that, by taking mean values for each quantitative variable, statistically only the age has significant difference between opposing groups. Sound frequency analysis in A and C networks over a frequency range between 125 to 16000 Hz revealed a significant differences in such away that sound pressure level for the sample group was higher than the limited threshold (85 dBA). Moreover, the results from the survey of the total sound pressure level in A –and C – weighted according to blood pressure status, BMI and age indicate a significant statistical correlation between the mentioned variables. A highly significant correlation was found by  $\chi^2$  test between the level of sound pressure, blood pressure status, BMI, and the age group in different octave band center frequencies. It is concluded that planning, for working hours of workers to decrease the noise exposure and employment of young workers with appropriate BMI may reduce the adverse effects of noise.

**Keywords:** Blood pressure, Industrial activities, Noise pollution

---

## INTRODUCTION

Noise is one of the many stressors people have to cope with in their everyday lives, especially in the developed countries, where the models of social and economical organization, the technological development and the growth of population are key factors in the increase of noise pollution [1, 2].

Indeed, noise pollution has been recognized as a serious health hazard [3]. Noise-related health hazards cause damage to humans ranging from annoyance to insanity and death [4]. Noise-induced sleeping problems and their influence on mood and performance the next day are part of every normal life. However, at some point, sleeping problems or sleep disturbance may become clinically significant as normal physical, mental, and social functioning are hampered. Furthermore, an effect such as the elevation of blood pressure caused by noise exposure might fall largely within normal homeostasis [5]. Long term noise induced stress may lead to disturbance of blood pressure regulation through the raise of circulatory stress hormones: adrenaline, nor-adrenaline [6]. On the other hand, an increase in blood pressure may also induce in the prevalence and mortality of cardiovascular disease [7].

Noise-related disorders have been identified in exposed workers and have led to the concept of vibro acoustic disease (VAD). Investigation of VAD prevalence is of particular importance in workers of industries where noise prevails (e.g., airplane and textile plants) [8].

To gain more insight into the relation between noise exposure and its potential health impact, a descriptive analytical investigation was conducted in order to estimate the interactive effect of noise pollution on blood pressure among the workers of a textile factory.

**Table 1.** Values (percentage and abundance distribution) of blood pressure among workers by studied groups

Parameter		Sample group		Control group	
		number	percentage	number	percentage
Systolic blood pressure	Normal ( $\leq 140$ )	72	88.9	29	67.96
	Borderline (141 – 159)	8	9.87	-	-
	Hypertension( $\geq 160$ )	1	1.23	1	33.36
Total		81	100	30	100
Diastolic blood pressure	Normal ( $\leq 140$ )	73	90.12	29	96.7
	Borderline (141 – 159)	7	8.64	1	36.33
	Hypertension( $\geq 160$ )	1	1.24	-	-
Total		81	100	30	100

**Table 2.** Mean and abundance distribution of demographic parameters among workers by studied groups

Variable	Sample	N	Mean	Standard deviation	F	%	T	Df	%	Result																																																																																																																																																																													
Age	1S *	81	30.57	6.7	3.1	0.082	2.21	72.87	0.03	S																																																																																																																																																																													
	2C**	30	28.03	4.76							Number of children	1 S	81	0.827	1.28	4.62	0.034	1.4	109	0.16	NS	2 C	30	0.416	0.93	Working history	1 S	81	6.97	4.22	1.72	0.19	0.82	61.54	0.42	NS	2 C	30	6.26	3.53	Work duration in previous job	1 S	81	0.45	1.34	0.93	0.33	482	45.66	0.63	NS	2 C	30	0.6	1.56	Work duration except the main job	1 S	81	6.17	0.55	14.07	0.00	-1.8	109	0.07	NS	2 C	30	0.668	2.85	Smoking (behavior)	1 S	81	1.62	4.85	3.54	0.06	1.66	96.63	0.24	NS	2 C	30	0.80	2.53	Smoking history	1 S	81	1.50	4.12	1.02	3.14	1.66	61.96	0.6	NS	2 C	30	1.10	3.42	Smoking history	1 S	81	1.22	3.10	0.004	0.94	0.03	51.74	0.97	NS	2 C	30	1.2	3.11	Medical history of family	1 S	81	24.12	3.74	0.62	0.43	0.9	62.09	0.37	NS	2 C	30	23.57	3.1	Systolic blood pressure	1 S	81	117.72	18.09	1.44	0.23	0.69	64.26	0.49	NS	2 C	30	115.4	14.51	Diastolic blood pressure	1 S	81	78.49	7.64	4.19	0.04	3.26	0.1	0.001	S	2 C	30	73.55	5.21	Height	1 S	81	176.48	6.55	0.04	0.83	1.54	46.09	0.12	NS	2 C	30	174.06	7.56	Weight	1 S	81	75.46	10.61	0.06	0.80	1.47
Number of children	1 S	81	0.827	1.28	4.62	0.034	1.4	109	0.16	NS																																																																																																																																																																													
	2 C	30	0.416	0.93							Working history	1 S	81	6.97	4.22	1.72	0.19	0.82	61.54	0.42	NS	2 C	30	6.26	3.53	Work duration in previous job	1 S	81	0.45	1.34	0.93	0.33	482	45.66	0.63	NS	2 C	30	0.6	1.56	Work duration except the main job	1 S	81	6.17	0.55	14.07	0.00	-1.8	109	0.07	NS	2 C	30	0.668	2.85	Smoking (behavior)	1 S	81	1.62	4.85	3.54	0.06	1.66	96.63	0.24	NS	2 C	30	0.80	2.53	Smoking history	1 S	81	1.50	4.12	1.02	3.14	1.66	61.96	0.6	NS	2 C	30	1.10	3.42	Smoking history	1 S	81	1.22	3.10	0.004	0.94	0.03	51.74	0.97	NS	2 C	30	1.2	3.11	Medical history of family	1 S	81	24.12	3.74	0.62	0.43	0.9	62.09	0.37	NS	2 C	30	23.57	3.1	Systolic blood pressure	1 S	81	117.72	18.09	1.44	0.23	0.69	64.26	0.49	NS	2 C	30	115.4	14.51	Diastolic blood pressure	1 S	81	78.49	7.64	4.19	0.04	3.26	0.1	0.001	S	2 C	30	73.55	5.21	Height	1 S	81	176.48	6.55	0.04	0.83	1.54	46.09	0.12	NS	2 C	30	174.06	7.56	Weight	1 S	81	75.46	10.61	0.06	0.80	1.47	44.96	0.14	NS	2 C	30	71.63	12.66								
Working history	1 S	81	6.97	4.22	1.72	0.19	0.82	61.54	0.42	NS																																																																																																																																																																													
	2 C	30	6.26	3.53							Work duration in previous job	1 S	81	0.45	1.34	0.93	0.33	482	45.66	0.63	NS	2 C	30	0.6	1.56	Work duration except the main job	1 S	81	6.17	0.55	14.07	0.00	-1.8	109	0.07	NS	2 C	30	0.668	2.85	Smoking (behavior)	1 S	81	1.62	4.85	3.54	0.06	1.66	96.63	0.24	NS	2 C	30	0.80	2.53	Smoking history	1 S	81	1.50	4.12	1.02	3.14	1.66	61.96	0.6	NS	2 C	30	1.10	3.42	Smoking history	1 S	81	1.22	3.10	0.004	0.94	0.03	51.74	0.97	NS	2 C	30	1.2	3.11	Medical history of family	1 S	81	24.12	3.74	0.62	0.43	0.9	62.09	0.37	NS	2 C	30	23.57	3.1	Systolic blood pressure	1 S	81	117.72	18.09	1.44	0.23	0.69	64.26	0.49	NS	2 C	30	115.4	14.51	Diastolic blood pressure	1 S	81	78.49	7.64	4.19	0.04	3.26	0.1	0.001	S	2 C	30	73.55	5.21	Height	1 S	81	176.48	6.55	0.04	0.83	1.54	46.09	0.12	NS	2 C	30	174.06	7.56	Weight	1 S	81	75.46	10.61	0.06	0.80	1.47	44.96	0.14	NS	2 C	30	71.63	12.66																							
Work duration in previous job	1 S	81	0.45	1.34	0.93	0.33	482	45.66	0.63	NS																																																																																																																																																																													
	2 C	30	0.6	1.56							Work duration except the main job	1 S	81	6.17	0.55	14.07	0.00	-1.8	109	0.07	NS	2 C	30	0.668	2.85	Smoking (behavior)	1 S	81	1.62	4.85	3.54	0.06	1.66	96.63	0.24	NS	2 C	30	0.80	2.53	Smoking history	1 S	81	1.50	4.12	1.02	3.14	1.66	61.96	0.6	NS	2 C	30	1.10	3.42	Smoking history	1 S	81	1.22	3.10	0.004	0.94	0.03	51.74	0.97	NS	2 C	30	1.2	3.11	Medical history of family	1 S	81	24.12	3.74	0.62	0.43	0.9	62.09	0.37	NS	2 C	30	23.57	3.1	Systolic blood pressure	1 S	81	117.72	18.09	1.44	0.23	0.69	64.26	0.49	NS	2 C	30	115.4	14.51	Diastolic blood pressure	1 S	81	78.49	7.64	4.19	0.04	3.26	0.1	0.001	S	2 C	30	73.55	5.21	Height	1 S	81	176.48	6.55	0.04	0.83	1.54	46.09	0.12	NS	2 C	30	174.06	7.56	Weight	1 S	81	75.46	10.61	0.06	0.80	1.47	44.96	0.14	NS	2 C	30	71.63	12.66																																						
Work duration except the main job	1 S	81	6.17	0.55	14.07	0.00	-1.8	109	0.07	NS																																																																																																																																																																													
	2 C	30	0.668	2.85							Smoking (behavior)	1 S	81	1.62	4.85	3.54	0.06	1.66	96.63	0.24	NS	2 C	30	0.80	2.53	Smoking history	1 S	81	1.50	4.12	1.02	3.14	1.66	61.96	0.6	NS	2 C	30	1.10	3.42	Smoking history	1 S	81	1.22	3.10	0.004	0.94	0.03	51.74	0.97	NS	2 C	30	1.2	3.11	Medical history of family	1 S	81	24.12	3.74	0.62	0.43	0.9	62.09	0.37	NS	2 C	30	23.57	3.1	Systolic blood pressure	1 S	81	117.72	18.09	1.44	0.23	0.69	64.26	0.49	NS	2 C	30	115.4	14.51	Diastolic blood pressure	1 S	81	78.49	7.64	4.19	0.04	3.26	0.1	0.001	S	2 C	30	73.55	5.21	Height	1 S	81	176.48	6.55	0.04	0.83	1.54	46.09	0.12	NS	2 C	30	174.06	7.56	Weight	1 S	81	75.46	10.61	0.06	0.80	1.47	44.96	0.14	NS	2 C	30	71.63	12.66																																																					
Smoking (behavior)	1 S	81	1.62	4.85	3.54	0.06	1.66	96.63	0.24	NS																																																																																																																																																																													
	2 C	30	0.80	2.53							Smoking history	1 S	81	1.50	4.12	1.02	3.14	1.66	61.96	0.6	NS	2 C	30	1.10	3.42	Smoking history	1 S	81	1.22	3.10	0.004	0.94	0.03	51.74	0.97	NS	2 C	30	1.2	3.11	Medical history of family	1 S	81	24.12	3.74	0.62	0.43	0.9	62.09	0.37	NS	2 C	30	23.57	3.1	Systolic blood pressure	1 S	81	117.72	18.09	1.44	0.23	0.69	64.26	0.49	NS	2 C	30	115.4	14.51	Diastolic blood pressure	1 S	81	78.49	7.64	4.19	0.04	3.26	0.1	0.001	S	2 C	30	73.55	5.21	Height	1 S	81	176.48	6.55	0.04	0.83	1.54	46.09	0.12	NS	2 C	30	174.06	7.56	Weight	1 S	81	75.46	10.61	0.06	0.80	1.47	44.96	0.14	NS	2 C	30	71.63	12.66																																																																				
Smoking history	1 S	81	1.50	4.12	1.02	3.14	1.66	61.96	0.6	NS																																																																																																																																																																													
	2 C	30	1.10	3.42							Smoking history	1 S	81	1.22	3.10	0.004	0.94	0.03	51.74	0.97	NS	2 C	30	1.2	3.11	Medical history of family	1 S	81	24.12	3.74	0.62	0.43	0.9	62.09	0.37	NS	2 C	30	23.57	3.1	Systolic blood pressure	1 S	81	117.72	18.09	1.44	0.23	0.69	64.26	0.49	NS	2 C	30	115.4	14.51	Diastolic blood pressure	1 S	81	78.49	7.64	4.19	0.04	3.26	0.1	0.001	S	2 C	30	73.55	5.21	Height	1 S	81	176.48	6.55	0.04	0.83	1.54	46.09	0.12	NS	2 C	30	174.06	7.56	Weight	1 S	81	75.46	10.61	0.06	0.80	1.47	44.96	0.14	NS	2 C	30	71.63	12.66																																																																																			
Smoking history	1 S	81	1.22	3.10	0.004	0.94	0.03	51.74	0.97	NS																																																																																																																																																																													
	2 C	30	1.2	3.11							Medical history of family	1 S	81	24.12	3.74	0.62	0.43	0.9	62.09	0.37	NS	2 C	30	23.57	3.1	Systolic blood pressure	1 S	81	117.72	18.09	1.44	0.23	0.69	64.26	0.49	NS	2 C	30	115.4	14.51	Diastolic blood pressure	1 S	81	78.49	7.64	4.19	0.04	3.26	0.1	0.001	S	2 C	30	73.55	5.21	Height	1 S	81	176.48	6.55	0.04	0.83	1.54	46.09	0.12	NS	2 C	30	174.06	7.56	Weight	1 S	81	75.46	10.61	0.06	0.80	1.47	44.96	0.14	NS	2 C	30	71.63	12.66																																																																																																		
Medical history of family	1 S	81	24.12	3.74	0.62	0.43	0.9	62.09	0.37	NS																																																																																																																																																																													
	2 C	30	23.57	3.1							Systolic blood pressure	1 S	81	117.72	18.09	1.44	0.23	0.69	64.26	0.49	NS	2 C	30	115.4	14.51	Diastolic blood pressure	1 S	81	78.49	7.64	4.19	0.04	3.26	0.1	0.001	S	2 C	30	73.55	5.21	Height	1 S	81	176.48	6.55	0.04	0.83	1.54	46.09	0.12	NS	2 C	30	174.06	7.56	Weight	1 S	81	75.46	10.61	0.06	0.80	1.47	44.96	0.14	NS	2 C	30	71.63	12.66																																																																																																																	
Systolic blood pressure	1 S	81	117.72	18.09	1.44	0.23	0.69	64.26	0.49	NS																																																																																																																																																																													
	2 C	30	115.4	14.51							Diastolic blood pressure	1 S	81	78.49	7.64	4.19	0.04	3.26	0.1	0.001	S	2 C	30	73.55	5.21	Height	1 S	81	176.48	6.55	0.04	0.83	1.54	46.09	0.12	NS	2 C	30	174.06	7.56	Weight	1 S	81	75.46	10.61	0.06	0.80	1.47	44.96	0.14	NS	2 C	30	71.63	12.66																																																																																																																																
Diastolic blood pressure	1 S	81	78.49	7.64	4.19	0.04	3.26	0.1	0.001	S																																																																																																																																																																													
	2 C	30	73.55	5.21							Height	1 S	81	176.48	6.55	0.04	0.83	1.54	46.09	0.12	NS	2 C	30	174.06	7.56	Weight	1 S	81	75.46	10.61	0.06	0.80	1.47	44.96	0.14	NS	2 C	30	71.63	12.66																																																																																																																																															
Height	1 S	81	176.48	6.55	0.04	0.83	1.54	46.09	0.12	NS																																																																																																																																																																													
	2 C	30	174.06	7.56							Weight	1 S	81	75.46	10.61	0.06	0.80	1.47	44.96	0.14	NS	2 C	30	71.63	12.66																																																																																																																																																														
Weight	1 S	81	75.46	10.61	0.06	0.80	1.47	44.96	0.14	NS																																																																																																																																																																													
	2 C	30	71.63	12.66																																																																																																																																																																																			

\*Case = S

\*\*Control = C

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A cross sectional study was conducted on 111 subjects from a textile factory in Ilam which is situated in west of Iran. The sample group included 81 workers who were exposed to a noisy environment and were randomly selected. In addition, 30 workers who were exposed to lower sound pressure level were also introduced as a control group. The questionnaire consisted of two segments. The first part comprised general demographic data and the second part consisted of technical questions such as systolic and diastolic blood pressure. The questionnaire also included the sound pressure level over different frequencies. Based on similar surveys, expert opinions and statistical tests such as half split method and test – retest, validity and reliability of the questionnaire was confirmed ( $\alpha = 0.85$ ).

In two stages, systolic and diastolic blood pressure was measured by a medical doctor and nurses. At first, each worker was examined by a physician and then, after the subject had been supine for 15 – 20 min, blood pressure was measured 3 times in 5 min intervals. In a second experimental session, the above examination was carried out by another person. In the same condition, for each worker pulse rate was counted by radial artery palpitation for 1 min.

With regard to exposure to noise in the studied area and from a noise-mapping study, sampling sites were selected for detailed investigation. At each site, the measurements of the total sound level and sound analysis in 1/3 octave band frequencies was carried out. To illustrate the accuracy levels of the noise, the procedure was applied different times a day and night at each of the selected measurement sites. Noise level was measured using a sound level

meter type B & K 2230, made in Denmark. The data were statistically tested by T- test.

## RESULTS

The highest sound pressure level for sample group 104 dBA were recorded in the welding while the lowest noise levels 79.95 dBA were recorded on the twisted bobbins. The results also indicated that the entire control group was estimated under maximum sound pressure of 61.93 dBA. According to the variables of sound pressure level estimated in A and C-weighted, mean sound pressure level in sample group and control group was  $94.86 \pm 4.63$  dBA and  $61.93 \pm 4.56$  dBA, respectively which shows statistically a significant difference among the subjects.

Table 1 shows systolic and diastolic blood pressure of the workers. It shows that based on WHO definition, 88.9% of the sample group have a normal blood pressure, 8.64% have a borderline blood pressure and 2.47% have hypertension. On the contrary, the blood pressure for control group subjects was 96.7% with normal blood pressure and 3.3 with hypertension.

From sample and control group, we found differences in mean of quantitative variables such as age, working history, work duration in previous job, work duration except the main job, smoking (behavior), height, weight, a history of smoking, medical history of family and number of children were statistically insignificant while, from age changes there was a statistical significant difference between sample group ( $30.57 \pm 6.17$ ) and

control group ( $28.03 \pm 4.76$ ), ( $P=0.03$ ,  $df=109$  and  $t=2.21$ ). In addition, by performing t-test a significant difference in age variable could be observed ( $t = 2.21$ ,  $df = 109$  and  $P = 0.000$ ).

With respect to the interaction between noise and blood pressure, in mean systolic blood pressure no significant differences between the mentioned groups could be noticed. However, in mean diastolic blood pressure significant difference were evident ( $t=3.262$ ,  $df=109$  and  $P= 0.001$ ) (Table 2 and 3). Furthermore, by the use of t-test the results showed that diastolic blood pressure had differences between sample and control groups ( $P=0.000$ ,  $df=109$  and  $t=3.262$ ). The results from sound pressure level, BMI and blood pressure level showed that subjects with BMI, more than 27 and noise exposure of (85-99 dBA), had borderline blood pressure and hypertension respectively 7.5% and 2.9% (Table 4 and 5). Moreover, the chi-squared test showed significant correlation between sound pressure level, BMI, and blood pressure status ( $P=0.000$ ,  $df=4$  and  $\chi^2 = 25.54$ ).

As shown in Table 6 and 7, there was a significant relation between sound pressure level, blood pressure status and age at the test frequencies ( $P=0.000$ ,  $df=4$  and  $\chi^2 = 23.29$ ). Investigation from a noise level of 85 -99 dBA showed that the workers under the age of 29 had normal blood pressure and from workers with a age within the age group of 30-44 years 82.86% had normal blood pressure, 14.28% border line blood pressure and 2.86% hypertension. However, only 50% of workers over the age of 45 years had normal blood pressure and the rest of them equally had borderline pressure and hypertension. It should be mentioned that no workers within age of 30-44

(systolic > 160 and diastolic < 90) and over the age of 45 (in all cases have been observed).

The Interaction between blood pressure status, sound pressure level and age was statistically significant ( $\chi^2 = 13.62$ ,  $df=4$  and  $P=0.0009$ ) while, among blood pressure status, sound pressure level and work history in the range of eight frequencies no significant statistical relation was detected.

## DISCUSSION

The present study was consistent with the report of Mahmood et al., (2007) [10] who noted that there was a significant rise in blood pressure in response to noise. The findings listed above are in agreement with the results of the other studies in the literature [7]. They indicate that, the group of workers which are exposed to  $l_{ep,d}$  ( $l_{ep,d}$ =personal daily levels of exposure (assuming an 8-hr shift)), greater than 90 dBA had a higher mean diastolic BP and a higher frequency of diastolic hypertension than those exposed at lower noise levels. Exposure to occupational noise above 85 dBA is also reported to interaction with elevated ambulatory blood pressure in male workers aged from 20 to 50 years [9, 10, 11, 12].



**Table 3.** Mean and abundance distribution of environmental parameters among workers by studied groups

Variable	Sample	Number	Mean	Standard deviation	F	%	T	Df	Result																																																																																																																	
Sound pressure level dB (A)	1S *	81	94.86	6.63	0.76	0.38	24.71	109	S																																																																																																																	
	2C**	30	61.93	4.56						Sound pressure level dB (C)	1 S	81	98.36	6.1	0.21	0.64	25.56	109	S	2 C	30	67.03	4.56	Octave - band Frequency	125	1 S	81	51.1	21.49	0.00	23.57	109	S	2 C	30	81.25	8.10	250	1 S	81	88.39	22.59	0.00	20.71	109	S	2 C	30	51.1	2.36	500	1 S	81	89.27	5.93	0.01	22.89	109	S	2 C	30	50	4.68	1000	1 S	81	90.54	7.71	0.006	23.73	109	S	2 C	30	49.2	4.96	2000	1 S	81	90.55	25.29	0.00	21.24	109	S	2 C	30	51.06	4.96	4000	1 S	81	90.93	2.71	0.00	21.03	109	S	2 C	30	40.36	3.20	8000	1 S	81	90.93	38.32	0.00	24.52	109	S	2 C	30	40.36	1.68	16000	1 S	81	88.31	49.22	0.00	24.89
Sound pressure level dB (C)	1 S	81	98.36	6.1	0.21	0.64	25.56	109	S																																																																																																																	
	2 C	30	67.03	4.56						Octave - band Frequency	125	1 S	81	51.1	21.49	0.00	23.57	109	S	2 C	30	81.25	8.10		250	1 S	81	88.39	22.59	0.00	20.71	109	S	2 C	30	51.1	2.36	500	1 S	81	89.27	5.93	0.01	22.89	109	S	2 C	30	50	4.68	1000	1 S	81	90.54	7.71	0.006	23.73	109	S	2 C	30	49.2	4.96	2000	1 S	81	90.55	25.29	0.00	21.24	109	S	2 C	30	51.06	4.96	4000	1 S	81	90.93	2.71	0.00	21.03	109	S	2 C	30	40.36	3.20	8000	1 S	81	90.93	38.32	0.00	24.52	109	S	2 C	30	40.36	1.68	16000	1 S	81	88.31	49.22	0.00	24.89	109	S	2 C	30	45.76	1.45							
Octave - band Frequency	125	1 S	81	51.1	21.49	0.00	23.57	109	S																																																																																																																	
		2 C	30	81.25							8.10	250	1 S	81	88.39	22.59	0.00	20.71	109	S	2 C	30	51.1		2.36	500	1 S	81	89.27	5.93	0.01	22.89	109	S	2 C	30	50	4.68	1000	1 S	81	90.54	7.71	0.006	23.73	109	S	2 C	30	49.2	4.96	2000	1 S	81	90.55	25.29	0.00	21.24	109	S	2 C	30	51.06	4.96	4000	1 S	81	90.93	2.71	0.00	21.03	109	S	2 C	30	40.36	3.20	8000	1 S	81	90.93	38.32	0.00	24.52	109	S	2 C	30	40.36	1.68	16000	1 S	81	88.31	49.22	0.00	24.89	109	S	2 C	30	45.76	1.45																			
	250	1 S	81	88.39	22.59	0.00	20.71	109	S																																																																																																																	
		2 C	30	51.1							2.36	500	1 S	81	89.27	5.93	0.01	22.89	109	S	2 C	30	50		4.68	1000	1 S	81	90.54	7.71	0.006	23.73	109	S	2 C	30	49.2	4.96	2000	1 S	81	90.55	25.29	0.00	21.24	109	S	2 C	30	51.06	4.96	4000	1 S	81	90.93	2.71	0.00	21.03	109	S	2 C	30	40.36	3.20	8000	1 S	81	90.93	38.32	0.00	24.52	109	S	2 C	30	40.36	1.68	16000	1 S	81	88.31	49.22	0.00	24.89	109	S	2 C	30	45.76	1.45																																
	500	1 S	81	89.27	5.93	0.01	22.89	109	S																																																																																																																	
		2 C	30	50							4.68	1000	1 S	81	90.54	7.71	0.006	23.73	109	S	2 C	30	49.2		4.96	2000	1 S	81	90.55	25.29	0.00	21.24	109	S	2 C	30	51.06	4.96	4000	1 S	81	90.93	2.71	0.00	21.03	109	S	2 C	30	40.36	3.20	8000	1 S	81	90.93	38.32	0.00	24.52	109	S	2 C	30	40.36	1.68	16000	1 S	81	88.31	49.22	0.00	24.89	109	S	2 C	30	45.76	1.45																																													
	1000	1 S	81	90.54	7.71	0.006	23.73	109	S																																																																																																																	
		2 C	30	49.2							4.96	2000	1 S	81	90.55	25.29	0.00	21.24	109	S	2 C	30	51.06		4.96	4000	1 S	81	90.93	2.71	0.00	21.03	109	S	2 C	30	40.36	3.20	8000	1 S	81	90.93	38.32	0.00	24.52	109	S	2 C	30	40.36	1.68	16000	1 S	81	88.31	49.22	0.00	24.89	109	S	2 C	30	45.76	1.45																																																										
	2000	1 S	81	90.55	25.29	0.00	21.24	109	S																																																																																																																	
		2 C	30	51.06							4.96	4000	1 S	81	90.93	2.71	0.00	21.03	109	S	2 C	30	40.36	3.20	8000	1 S	81	90.93	38.32	0.00	24.52	109	S	2 C	30	40.36	1.68	16000	1 S	81	88.31	49.22	0.00	24.89	109	S	2 C	30	45.76	1.45																																																																								
	4000	1 S	81	90.93	2.71	0.00	21.03	109	S																																																																																																																	
		2 C	30	40.36						3.20	8000	1 S	81	90.93	38.32	0.00	24.52	109	S	2 C	30	40.36	1.68	16000	1 S	81	88.31	49.22	0.00	24.89	109	S	2 C	30	45.76	1.45																																																																																						
8000	1 S	81	90.93	38.32	0.00	24.52	109	S																																																																																																																		
	2 C	30	40.36						1.68	16000	1 S	81	88.31	49.22	0.00	24.89	109	S	2 C	30	45.76	1.45																																																																																																				
16000	1 S	81	88.31	49.22	0.00	24.89	109	S																																																																																																																		
	2 C	30	45.76						1.45																																																																																																																	

\*Case = S  
\* \*Control = C

**Table 4.** Percentage and abundance distribution of sample group according to frequency, sound pressure level dB (A), BMI, and blood pressure status

frequency	Sound pressure level	BMI < 19		19-27 (Normal)						> 27							
		Blood pressure		Systolic < 140 & Diastolic < 90		Systolic 140-159 & Diastolic < 90		Total		Systolic < 140 & Diastolic < 90		Systolic 140-159 & Diastolic < 90		Systolic > 160 & Diastolic < 90		Total	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
125	< 84	1	33.3	14	22.5	-	-	14	22.5	2	12.5	-	-	-	-	2	12.5
	85-99	2	66.7	47	75.8	1	1.6	48	77.4	7	43.7	5	31.2	2	12.5	14	87.5
	Total	3	100	61	98.3	1	1.6	62	100	9	56.2	5	31.2	2	12.5	16	100
250	< 84	1	33.3	14	22.5	-	-	14	22.5	2	12.5	-	-	-	-	2	12.5
	85-99	2	66.7	47	75.8	1	1.6	48	77.4	7	43.7	5	31.2	2	12.5	14	87.5
	Total	3	100	61	98.3	1	1.6	62	100	9	56.2	5	31.2	2	12.5	16	100
500	< 84	1	33.3	14	22.5	-	-	14	22.5	2	12.5	-	-	-	-	2	12.5
	85-99	2	66.7	47	75.8	1	1.6	48	77.4	7	43.7	5	31.2	2	12.5	14	87.5
	Total	3	100	61	98.3	1	1.6	62	100	9	56.2	5	31.2	2	12.5	16	100
1000	< 84	1	33.3	14	22.5	-	-	14	22.5	2	12.5	-	-	-	-	2	12.5
	85-99	2	66.7	47	75.8	1	1.6	48	77.4	7	43.7	5	31.2	2	12.5	14	87.5
	Total	3	100	61	98.3	1	1.6	62	100	9	56.2	5	31.2	2	12.5	16	100
2000	< 84	1	33.3	14	22.5	-	-	14	22.5	2	12.5	-	-	-	-	2	12.5
	85-99	2	66.7	47	75.8	1	1.6	48	77.4	7	43.7	5	31.2	2	12.5	14	87.5
	Total	3	100	61	98.3	1	1.6	62	100	9	56.2	5	31.2	2	12.5	16	100
4000	< 84	1	33.3	14	22.5	-	-	14	22.5	2	12.5	-	-	-	-	2	12.5
	85-99	2	66.7	47	75.8	1	1.6	48	77.4	7	43.7	5	31.2	2	12.5	14	87.5
	Total	3	100	61	98.3	1	1.6	62	100	9	56.2	5	31.2	2	12.5	16	100
8000	< 84	1	33.3	14	22.5	-	-	14	22.5	2	12.5	-	-	-	-	2	12.5
	85-99	2	66.7	47	75.8	1	1.6	48	77.4	7	43.7	5	31.2	2	12.5	14	87.5
	Total	3	100	61	98.3	1	1.6	62	100	9	56.2	5	31.2	2	12.5	16	100
16000	< 84	1	33.3	14	22.5	-	-	14	22.5	2	12.5	-	-	-	-	2	12.5
	85-99	2	66.7	47	75.8	1	1.6	48	77.4	7	43.7	5	31.2	2	12.5	14	87.5
	Total	3	100	61	98.3	1	1.6	62	100	9	56.2	5	31.2	2	12.5	16	100
spl	< 84	1	33.3	14	22.5	-	-	14	22.5	2	12.5	-	-	-	-	2	12.5
	85-99	2	66.7	47	75.8	1	1.6	48	77.4	7	43.7	5	31.2	2	12.5	14	87.5

This study carried out an analysis of the environmental noise exposure on blood pressure in workers of a textile factory. From WHO definition, blood pressure status of sample and control groups showed that normal blood pressure of sample and control groups were respectively 88.9% and 96.7%. In addition, the prevalence of sample group with hypertensive and borderline values of blood pressure was 2.47% and 8.64%, respectively. In addition to systolic/diastolic blood pressure changes, t-test stated a significant difference in diastolic blood pressure among studied groups. In other words, sample group which are exposed to higher sound pressure, have increased diastolic blood pressure.

Considering that using t-test, no significant differences were found in quantitative variables (e.g. working history, work duration in current job, work duration except the main job, smoking (behavior), height, weight, a history of smoking and medical history of family) among subjects, thus, it can be concluded that the mentioned variables were relatively harmonious.

However, t-test showed considerable difference in the mean age of these two groups ( $P=0.03$ ). From the point of view of sound analysis, three types of trends can be distinguished at the range of frequencies. From 125 to 4000 Hz sound pressure level demonstrates upward trend while at frequency of 16000 Hz an opposite trend has been observed. Moreover, this trend at frequency 8000 Hz is in constant which the maximum difference of sound pressure level from that frequency range was 3.6 dBA.

With regard to the mean sound pressure level, t-test shows a significant difference in A- and C-weighted ( $P=0.000$ ). This approach indicates that sample group is exposed to higher sound pressure than that of the control one. From the sound analysis results in octave band (8 frequencies), it can be observed that the sample group is exposed to higher sound pressure level, which shows a heterogeneous working environment among these two groups.

## **CONCLUSION**

As a conclusion, the following suggestions could be useful for further investigations:

1. Appropriate selection of machines with a good main-tenance.
2. Planning for working hours of workers to decrease the sound pressure exposure.
3. Employment of young workers with appropriate BMI.
4. Further research on relation of sound and blood pressure in industrial environments on young adults.

**Table 5.** Percentage and abundance distribution of control group according to frequency, sound pressure level dB (A), BMI, and blood pressure status

frequency	Sound pressure level	BMI		19-27 (Normal)				> 27	
		Blood pressure		Systolic < 140 & Diastolic < 90		Systolic < 140 & Diastolic < 90		Systolic < 140 & Diastolic < 90	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
125	< 84	1	3.8	24	92.3	1	3.8	4	100
	85-99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	1	3.8	24	92.3	1	43.8	4	100
250	< 84	1	3.8	24	92.3	1	3.8	4	100
	85-99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	1	3.8	24	92.3	1	43.8	4	100
500	< 84	1	3.8	24	92.3	1	3.8	4	100
	85-99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	1	3.8	24	92.3	1	43.8	4	100
1000	< 84	1	3.8	24	92.3	1	3.8	4	100
	85-99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	1	3.8	24	92.3	1	43.8	4	100
2000	< 84	1	3.8	24	92.3	1	3.8	4	100
	85-99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	1	3.8	24	92.3	1	43.8	4	100
4000	< 84	1	3.8	24	92.3	1	3.8	4	100
	85-99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	1	3.8	24	92.3	1	43.8	4	100
8000	< 84	1	3.8	24	92.3	1	3.8	4	100
	85-99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	1	3.8	24	92.3	1	43.8	4	100
16000	< 84	1	3.8	24	92.3	1	3.8	4	100
	85-99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	1	3.8	24	92.3	1	43.8	4	100

**Table 6.** Percentage and abundance distribution of sample group according to frequency, sound pressure level dB (A), age, and blood pressure status

frequency	Sound pressure level	Age ≤ 29		Age 30-44				Age ≥ 45				Total	Total						
		Systolic < 140 & Diastolic < 90		Systolic < 140 & Diastolic < 90		Systolic 140 - 159 & Diastolic < 90		Systolic > 160 & Diastolic < 90		Systolic < 140 & Diastolic < 90				Systolic 140 - 159 & Diastolic < 90		Systolic > 160 & Diastolic < 90			
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%			N	%	N	%	N	%
125	< 84	13	30.9	4	11.4	-	-	-	-	4	11.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	85-99	29	69	25	71.4	5	14.2	1	2.8	31	88.5	2	50	1	25	1	25	4	100
	Total	42	100	29	82.8	5	14.2	1	2.8	35	100	2	50	1	25	1	25	4	100
250	< 84	13	30.9	4	11.4	-	-	-	-	4	11.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	85-99	29	69	25	71.4	5	14.2	1	2.8	31	88.5	2	50	1	25	1	25	4	100
	Total	42	100	29	82.8	5	14.2	1	2.8	35	100	2	50	1	25	1	25	4	100
500	< 84	13	30.9	4	11.4	-	-	-	-	4	11.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	85-99	29	69	25	71.4	5	14.2	1	2.8	31	88.5	2	50	1	25	1	25	4	100
	Total	42	100	29	82.8	5	14.2	1	2.8	35	100	2	50	1	25	1	25	4	100
1000	< 84	13	30.9	4	11.4	-	-	-	-	4	11.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	85-99	29	69	25	71.4	5	14.2	1	2.8	31	88.5	2	50	1	25	1	25	4	100
	Total	42	100	29	82.8	5	14.2	1	2.8	35	100	2	50	1	25	1	25	4	100
2000	< 84	13	30.9	4	11.4	-	-	-	-	4	11.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	85-99	29	69	25	71.4	5	14.2	1	2.8	31	88.5	2	50	1	25	1	25	4	100
	Total	42	100	29	82.8	5	14.2	1	2.8	35	100	2	50	1	25	1	25	4	100
4000	< 84	13	30.9	4	11.4	-	-	-	-	4	11.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	85-99	29	69	25	71.4	5	14.2	1	2.8	31	88.5	2	50	1	25	1	25	4	100
	Total	42	100	29	82.8	5	14.2	1	2.8	35	100	2	50	1	25	1	25	4	100
8000	< 84	13	30.9	4	11.4	-	-	-	-	4	11.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	85-99	29	69	25	71.4	5	14.2	1	2.8	31	88.5	2	50	1	25	1	25	4	100
	Total	42	100	29	82.8	5	14.2	1	2.8	35	100	2	50	1	25	1	25	4	100
16000	< 84	13	30.9	4	11.4	-	-	-	-	4	11.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	85-99	29	69	25	71.4	5	14.2	1	2.8	31	88.5	2	50	1	25	1	25	4	100
	Total	42	100	29	82.8	5	14.2	1	2.8	35	100	2	50	1	25	1	25	4	100

**Table 7.** Percentage and abundance distribution of control group according to frequency, sound pressure level dB (A), age, and blood pressure status

frequency	Age		≤ 29		30-44			
	Blood pressure		Systolic < 140& Diastolic < 90		Systolic > 160 & Diastolic < 90		Systolic < 140& Diastolic < 90	
	Sound pressure level	N	%	N	%	N	%	
125	< 84	18	94.7	1	5.2	11	100	
	85-99	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Total	18	94.7	1	5.2	11	100	
250	< 84	18	94.7	1	5.2	11	100	
	85-99	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Total	18	94.7	1	5.2	11	100	
500	< 84	18	94.7	1	5.2	11	100	
	85-99	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Total	18	94.7	1	5.2	11	100	
1000	< 84	18	94.7	1	5.2	11	100	
	85-99	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Total	18	94.7	1	5.2	11	100	
2000	< 84	18	94.7	1	5.2	11	100	
	85-99	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Total	18	94.7	1	5.2	11	100	
4000	< 84	18	94.7	1	5.2	11	100	
	85-99	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Total	18	94.7	1	5.2	11	100	
8000	< 84	18	94.7	1	5.2	11	100	
	85-99	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Total	18	94.7	1	5.2	11	100	
16000	< 84	18	94.7	1	5.2	11	100	
	85-99	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Total	18	94.7	1	5.2	11	100	

## REFERENCES

1. Wallenius MA. The interaction of noise stress and personal project stress on subjective health. *J Env Psyc* 2004; 24:167–177.
2. Fernández MD, Quintana S, Chavarría N, Ballesteros JA. Noise exposure of workers of the construction sector. *Appl Acoust* 2009; 70: 753–760.
3. Bies DA, Hansen CH. *Engineering Noise Control: Theory and Practice*. 2nd ed, E and FN SPON, London, UK, 1996.
4. Pathak V, Tripathi BD, Mishra Vk. Evaluation of traffic noise pollution and attitudes of exposed individuals in working place. *Atmos Envir* 2008; 42: 3892–3898.
5. Elise EMM, Kempen V, Kruize H, Hendrick C, Boshuizen CB. The Interaction between noise exposure and blood pressure and ischaemic heart disease. *Envir Heal pros* 2002; 110: 307-317.
6. Belojevic G, Jakovljevic B, Stojanov V, Paunovic K, Ilic J. Urban road-traffic noise and blood pressure and heart rate in preschool children. *Envir Inter* 2008; 34: 226–231.

7. Tomei F, Fantini S, Tomao E, Baccolo T P, Rostai MV. Hypertension and chronic exposure to noise. *Arch Envir Heal* 2000; 55(5): 319-325.
8. Oliveiraa MJR, Pereiraa AS, Ferreiraa PG, Guimaraesb L, Freitasc D, Carvalhoc APO, Grandea NR, Aguas AP. Arrest in ciliated cell expansion on the bronchial lining of adult rats caused by chronic exposure to industrial noise. *Envir Res* 2005;97: 282–286.
9. Talbott EO, Gibson LB, Burks A, Engberg R, McHugh K P. Evidence for a dose-response relationship between occupational noise and blood pressure. *Arch Envir Heal* 1999; 54(2):71-78.
10. Mahmood R., Ghulam JH, Alam S, Safi AJ, Salahuddin Amin- ul-Haq. Effect of 90 decibel noise of 4000 Hertz on blood pressure in young adults. *Noise Pollution* 2007; 4: 1-4.
11. Fogari R, Zoppi A, Corradi L, Marasi G, Vanasia A. Zanchetti A, Transient but not sustained blood pressure increments by occupational noise. An ambulatory blood pressure measurement study. *J Hypertens* 2001; 19, 1021–1027.
12. Chang T, Lai Y Hsieh H, Lai J, Liu Ch. Effects of environmental noise exposure on ambulatory blood pressure in young adults. (In press), 2009